





January 25, 2010

Mr. Thomas Haglund City Administrator City of Gilroy 7351 Rosanna Street Gilroy, CA 95020

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Haglund,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac







January 25, 2010

Mr. Henry Perea and Ms. Susan B. Anderson County Supervisors and Co-Chairs of Fresno Works County of Fresno 2281 Tulare, Room 300 Fresno, CA 93721

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Perea and Ms. Anderson,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac







January 25, 2010

Dr. Lee Boese, Jr. Chairman Greater Merced High-Speed Rail Committee 177 W. El Portal Drive Merced, CA 95348

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Dr. Boese,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac







January 25, 2010

Ronald Brumett Executive Director Kern Council of Governments 1401 19th Street, Suite 300 Bakersfield, CA 93301

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Brumett,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac







January 25, 2010

John Lehn President/CEO Kings County EDC 120 North Irwin Street Hanford, CA 93230

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Lehn,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac





January 25, 2010

Mr. Bobby Kahn Executive Director Madera County Economic Development Commission 2425 West Cleveland Avenue, Suite 101 Madera, CA 93637

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Kahn,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac





January 25, 2010

Mr. Arnie Sugiyama General Manager Muse, LLC 31032 Via Rivera Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90502

Re: California High Speed Train Project - Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Mr. Sugiyama,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

Sincerely,





January 25, 2010

Ms. Stacey Mortensen Executive Director San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission 949 E. Channel Street Stockton, CA 95202

Re: California High Speed Train Project

Maintenance Facility Expressions of Interest

Dear Ms. Mortensen,

On behalf of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), thank you for your expression of interest in identifying potential maintenance facility sites. The Authority received a total of fifteen expressions of interest, all of which will be become publically available and will be transmitted to our regional consultants to incorporate in their environmental review of the project. Your submittal is much appreciated and will be thoroughly considered.

The site(s) you identified and described will be included along with other potential sites that either have been or may be identified in the Alternatives Analyses that are currently underway. We assure you that your proposed site(s) will be thoroughly evaluated before recommending a preferred alternative site or sites to the Board. Some of the sites may become infeasible based on a possible narrowing of alternative routes moving through the environmental process or for other reasons. It should be understood that none of the expressions of interest represents a binding commitment; any respondent might decide in the future to withdraw its submittal. These expressions of interest are the first step in the Alternatives Analysis process towards determining the best feasible and practical location. The Authority's environmental review process will include extensive opportunities for public input, which may include additional suggestions of maintenance locations, suggestions that under the law the Authority can neither preclude nor refuse to consider. No final selection of maintenance facility sites will be made until the completion of the environmental review process at least 15 months from now. The method by which a site will actually be selected or the facility constructed has not been determined.

Again, thank you for the time and effort that went into your submittal. The information provided will greatly assist the Authority in ultimately selecting the best sites for these proposed facilities.

M. Morahac